

Received for publication, October, 3, 2021 Accepted, November, 7, 2021

Original paper

Efect of sowing dates and seed rates of flax intercropped with sugar beet on productivity of both crops and competitive relationships

ASEM M. K. ABD-RABBOH¹, YASER MAZROU^{2,3}, AMAL M. A. EL-BORHAMY⁴, WILLIAM K. L. ABDELMASIEH⁴, YASER HAFEZ⁵, KHALED A. ABDELAAL⁵

¹ Crop Intensification Research Department, Field Crops Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, 33717 Sakha, Kafr El-Sheikh, Egypt.

²Business Administration Department, Community College, King Khalid University, Saudi Arabia

³ Faculity of Agriculture, Tanta University, Egypt

⁴ Fibers Crops Research Department, Field Crops Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, 33717 Sakha, Kafr El-Sheikh, Egypt.

⁵ EPCRS Excellence Center, Plant Pathology & Biotechnology Lab., Dept. of Agricultural Botany, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafrelsheikh University, Kafr-Elsheikh, 33516, Egypt

Abstract The aim of intercropping flax on sugar beet is to increase the cultivated area with flax due to widely cultivated area of sugar beet. So, a field experiment was carried out to study the effect of various sowing dates and seed rates of flax intercropped with sugar beet on yield, quality and economic evaluation. The main-plots were assigned to three sowing dates of flax intercropped with sugar beet and the sub-plots were allocated to four seed rates of flax. The results show that sowing date of flax after 35 days from sowing (DFS) sugar beet resulted in the highest values of sugar beet yields and quality. Maximum values of flax straw and seed yields resulted from sowing flax after 21 DFS sugar beet. Intercropping sugar beet with flax at 12.5% from recommended seed rate on top sugar beet terraces attained the most elevated values of sugar beet yield and quality. Sowing flax at 50% from recommended rate resulted in the best values of flax technical and fruiting zone length, also straw and seed yield/hectare. The maximum yield and economic return of both crops were obtained from sowing flax at 12.5% from recommended rate after (21 or 35) DFS sugar beet.

Keywords Sowing dates, flax, intercropping, seed rates, sugar beet

To cite this article: ABD-RABBOH AMK, MAZROU Y, EL-BORHAMY AMA, ABDELMASIEH WKL, HAFEZ Y, ABDELAAL KA. Efect of sowing dates and seed rates of flax intercropped with sugar beet on productivity of both crops and competitive relationships. *Rom Biotechnol Lett.* 2021; 26(6): 3074-3089. DOI: 10.25083/rbl/26.6/3074-3089.

Introduction

Sugar beet (*Beta valgaris* var. *saccharifera* L.) is one of the main sugar crops in Egypt and many countries all over the world beside sugar cane. The importance of sugar beet is not only confined to sugar production, but also, used to produce many of products. Recently, sugar beet crop has a significant position in Egyptian crop rotation as winter crop not just in the fertile soils, but also in poor, saline alkaline and calcareous soils (ZALAT & al [1]; ABDELAAL [2]; ABDELAAL and TAWFIK [3]; ABDELAAL & al [4]; ABDELAAL & al [5]; ABDELAAL & al [6]; ALKAHTANI & al [7]). Thus, in Egypt, sugar beet has become an important crop for sugar production, the total area cultivated in 2018 season reached about 218999 hectare and the total production exceeded 11.223 million ton roots with an average of 51.247 t/ha (FAO [8]).

Flax (Linum usitatissimum L.) is one of the oldest cultivated crops, keeps on being widely grown for oil and fibers. Flax fibers are considered stem fibers and two to three times as strong as those of cotton and are naturally smooth and straight. Seeds flax are crushed to produce linseed oil and linseed meal. Linseed oil is a major ingredient in many fine paints, varnishes, and stains that are used to preserve, protect, and beautify wooden surfaces. Due to high amounts of omega-3 fatty acid, its cultivation and consumption is increasing as a healthy oil resource. In Egypt, the gap between the production and local consumption of flax increased, because it is difficult to increase flax area on account other major winter crops due to great competition among them. There are many efforts had done to improve the growth and yield production of flax (SHAHEIN & al [9]; RASHWAN & al [10]). However, this gap could be fairly minimized by increasing flax yield per unit area and by intercropping it with major winter crops such as sugar beet. In Egypt, the agricultural intensification which includes crop rotation, relay intercropping and intercropping of major crops with other crops had become urgent necessity to optimize the utilizing of limited cultivated area and to maximize the monetary returns of unit area (MASRI and SAFINA [11]). Several investigators reported agronomic advantage when sugar beet was intercropped with flax, which could provide the farmer with high gross returns. ABD EL LATEEF & al. [12] showed that intercropping sugar beet with flax significantly surpassed solid planting in flax length of fruiting zone and attained the highest number of capsules and biological yield plant. The total LER (LER_{flax} + LER_{sugar beet}) was 1.03 and 1.14 indicating that land use efficiency increased by 3 and 14%.

Sowing date means the impact of all natural conditions in large scale on growth and yield of all crops, which vary widely from region to other. Moreover, sowing date is an important factor, which affects the timing and length of vegetative and ripening stages, since environmental factors such as temperature and light differ with varying planting date. Sowing date also allows superposing the critical periods for oil yield and its parts with the moment of the growth season where more environmental resources are available (BALALIC & al [13]). So, it is very important to determine sowing date of flax that achieving the optimum limits for these factors in order to get higher yields. GALLARDO & al [14] concluded that delayed sowing date had a negative effect on seed yield and it's components. RAHIMI & al [15] stated that delaying flax sowing significantly reduced plant height, number of branches and capsules/plant, and seed and fiber yields. SAGHAYESH & al [16] showed that sowing dates had a significant effect on all morphological characters. They added that an earlier sowing date (3rd March) led to better results. MAURYA & al [17] stated that yield attributes and yield of linseed sown on 4th November, which was significantly superior over both November 11th and 18th sown. HEMEID [18] showed that significant effects for sowing dates on seed index and number of seeds/capsules and seed weight/plant. The first and second cultivating dates were not significantly different from each other in straw yield/ha, but they significantly overcome on the yield of the third cultivating date. The sowing date of 15th Nov. significantly increased number of capsules/plant and seed yield/ha more than the other both sowing dates. KUMARI [19] revealed that sowing flax in the last week of November significantly increased seed and fiber yields, and also net return.

Plant density is a critical practice for determining the productivity of flax, where adjusting planting density is an important tool to optimize crop growth and maximize seed and fiber yields and quality parameters of flax. Plant density influences modulating crop environment and help to improve disease avoidance, thus adjusting plant density is an important tool to optimize crop growth and the time required for canopy closure and to achieve maximum biomass and seed yield. In this concern, DELESA and CHOFERIE [20] shown that the significant effects of seed rates were noticed on all yield components reflecting the importance of seeding rate for flax growth, yield and yield attributes. EMAM and DEWDAR [21] referred that increasing seeding rate significantly increased straw and seed yields in most cases. The favorable straw yield and its components (plant height, technical length, stem diameter and straw yield/plant) were observed, when flax plants were applied with seeding rate of 2250 seed/m². ERDOGDU & al [22] observed that the number of capsules and the weight of thousand grains significantly decreased with the increasing plant density (70 kg seed/ha), while seed yield was significantly increased. KATORE & al [23] indicated that the highest seed yield/ha was recorded in treatment having 22.5 kg seed ha⁻¹, which was at par with having 25 kg seed ha⁻¹ and treatment having seed rate at 17.5 and 12.5 Kg ha-1. Net monetary return was noticed maximum in treatment having 22.5 kg seed ha-1 followed by treatment having 25 kg seed ha-1. They concluded that the rate of 22.5 kg seed ha⁻¹ is found optimum for irrigated linseed. XIE & al [24] showed that seed rates (450, 750, 1050 seeds m⁻²) significantly affected on seed yield and oil yield. The highest seed rate produced greater seed and oil yields, and linolenic acid content compared to the lowest one.

Thus, this investigation was established to study the influence of sowing dates and seed rates of flax intercropped with sugar beet in order to improve the productivity of both flax and sugar beet, increasing the land use factor and increase farm income under the environmental conditions of Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate, Egypt.

Materials and Methods

A field experiment was performed twice at Sakha Agricultural Research Station Farm, Agricultural Research Center, Egypt, during the two winter growing seasons of 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 to study the impact of sowing dates and seed rates of flax (oil cultivar, Sakha 5) intercropped with sugar beet (Platos cultivar) on yield and quality of both crops as well as competitive relationships and economic evaluation.

Experimental design and treatments

The both field experiments were carried out in split-plot design with four replicates. The main-plots were assigned to sowing dates of flax intercropped with sugar beet as follows; sowing flax with sugar beet, sowing flax after 21 days from sowing (DFS) sugar beet and sowing flax after 35 DFS sugar beet. Where, sowing date of sugar beet was on 24th and 20th October in the 1st and 2nd seasons, in that order. While, sowing dates of flax were on 24th and 20th October (first sowing date), 14th and 11th November (second sowing date) and 28th and 25th November (third sowing date) in the 1st and 2nd seasons, respectively. The sub-plots were allocated to four seed rates of flax as follows; 12.5, 25.0, 37.5 and 50.0% from recommended seed rate. Where, the recommended seed rate of flax oil cultivar, Sakha 5 was 120 kg seeds/hectare (ha). Each experimental basic unit (sub-plot) included three terraces, each of 1.2 m width and 4.0 m length, resulted an area

Soil sampling and analysis

The soil samples from the experimental site were randomly taken from the upper 30cm of the soil surface during soil preparation in both 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 seasons, and then mechanical and chemical analyses of the soil were carried out by following the method described by PAGE [25] and its results are shown in Table (1). The averages of weather factors for Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate during the crop growing seasons are recorded in Table (2).

Cultural practices

The experimental land was well prepared through three ploughings, compaction, division and then divided into the experimental plots with dimensions as previously mentioned. Normal calcium superphosphate fertilizer (15.5% P₂O₅) as a source of phosphorus was applied as one dose for all plots during soil preparation at the rate of 475 kg/ha Sugar beet was intercropped with flax by sowing 3-5 balls/hill (seed rate was 9.5 kg/ha) using dry sowing method on both sides of terraces, 120 cm width, and 20 cm between hills at the aforementioned sowing dates in both seasons, and thinned after 30 days from sowing to 1 plant/hill to give 83333 plants/ha However, flax was intercropped with sugar beet by sowing the aforesaid flax seed rates on top sugar beet terraces at the aforementioned sowing dates in both seasons.

Table 1. The physical and chemical	properties of the experimenta	l site during 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 seasons.

Properties		2018/2019 season	2019/2020 season
A: Mechanical analysis:			
Sand %		9.85	9.76
Silt %		30.15	29.98
Clay %		60	60.26
Texture		Clay	Clay
B: Chemical analysis:			
рН		7.75	7.81
EC ds/m		2.95	2.65
Organic matter %		1.07	1.09
Total N %		0.14	0.13
Total carbonate %		61.4	61.38
CEC meq/100 g soil		61.44	61.42
SP %		78.45	78.38
SAR		4.51	4.68
	Ν	28	25.4
Available mg/kg	Р	8.75	8.45
	Κ	255.7	365
	Ca++	6.46	6.25
Soluble estima meas/I	Mg++	6.36	5.41
Soluble cations meq/L	Na+	10.03	9.95
	K+	0.41	0.45
	СО3	0	0
Soluble anions meq/L	НСО3-	4.5	4.16
solubic amons meq/L	Cl-	9.56	8.5
	SO4	11.09	10.87

In addition to the solo cultivation of both sugar beet and flax, according to the recommendations of the Ministry of Agriculture for each crop. Nitrogen fertilizer as ammonium nitrate (33.5%) at 190 kg N/ha was added in two equal doses, the first was applied after thinning sugar beet plants and before first irrigation (30 days from sowing) and the second before

the second irrigation. Potassium sulphate (48% K2O)

at the rate of 120 kg/ha was applied for experimental plots before the second irrigation. The other agricultural practices for flax and sugar beet were kept the same as normally practiced according to the recommendations of Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation.

Table 2. Monthly averages of weather factors for Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate during 2018/19 and 2019/20 seasons.

Month	Season	Т	emperature	C°	Relative	Class A pan evaporation	
		Max.	Min.	Mean	Humidity %	(mm/day)	
Ostahan	2018	29.5	20.6	25	66	324	
October	2019	30.3	26.7	28.5	70.8	383.7	
Norman	2018	25	17.4	21.2	70.6	160.2	
November	2019	27.9	25.1	26.5	65.6	230.8	
Deservebau	2018	19.5	13.9	16.7	75.6	117.2	
December	2019	21.4	13.4	17.4	72.9	265.6	
To man o ma	2019	18.9	12.3	15.6	67.8	113.8	
January	2020	18.4	11.8	15.1	74.7	208.8	
Fahrmann	2019	19.7	14.3	17	72.6	177.6	
February	2020	20.4	12.7	16.6	70.6	182.9	
Manah	2019	21.7	17.6	19.7	72.2	285.8	
March	2020	22.6	15.6	19.1	67.5	511.9	
A	2019	25.1	21.3	23.2	64.9	369.5	
April	2020	26	18.9	22.5	62.6	607.5	
М	2019	31.9	25.4	28.7	57.2	682.9	
May	2020	31.9	23.8	27.9	53.7	770.3	

Source: Meteorological Station at Sakha Agricultural Research Station 31° 07⁻ N Latitude, 30° 57⁻ E Longitude with an elevation of about 6 meters above mean sea level (MSL).

Harvesting was done for sugar beet on 8th and 4th May in the first and second seasons, respectively. While, harvesting dates of flax were on 24th and 20th March (for first sowing date), 15th and 11th April (for second sowing date) and 29th and 25th April (for third sowing date) in the 1st and 2nd seasons, respectively.

The studied chaacters

Sugar beet characters

At maturity (at formerly harvesting dates) five plants from the two outer terraces were chosen at random, from the pure stand and from intercropped sub-plots of sugar beet to determine quality characters *i.e.*, total soluble solids (TSS%) in juice of fresh roots by using Hand Refract meter, sucrose percentage (%) was determined polarimetrically on lead acetate extract of fresh macerated roots (CARRUTHERS and OLDFIELD [26]) and purity percentage (%) was determined as a ratio between sucrose% and TSS% of fresh roots. Plants that were produced from the inner terrace of each sub-plot were collected and cleaned. Roots and tops were detached and weighted in Kilograms, then converted to estimate; root and top yields (t/ha) and sugar yield (t/fad) was calculated by multiplying root yield by sucrose percentage.

Flax characters

At full maturity, ten guarded flax plants were taken at random from each sub-plot (terrace) to estimate flax yield components *i.e.*, technical length (cm), stem diameter (mm.), fruiting zone length (cm.) and number of capsules/plant. Straw yield/ha (ton) and seed yield/ha (kg) were calculated from the whole sub-plot (terrace) area basis.

Competitive relationships

Land Equivalent Ratio (LER) was determined according to the following formula (WILLEY and RAO [27]):

$$LER = \frac{Yab}{Yaa} + \frac{Yba}{Ybb}$$

Where: **Yaa** and **Ybb** were pure stand of crop, a (sugar beet) and b (flax), respectively. **Yab** is mixture yield of (a) crop and **Yba** is mixture yield of (b) crop.

Aggressivity (Ag) was calculated as the following formula (MC-GILLCHRIST [28]):

• For crop (a),

$$A_{ab} = \frac{Y_{ab}}{Y_{aa} \ x \ Z_{ab}} - \frac{Y_{ba}}{Y_{bb} \ x \ Z_{ba}}$$

and for crop (b),

$$A_{ba} = \frac{Y_{ba}}{Y_{bb} \ x \ Z_{ba}} - \frac{Y_{ab}}{Y_{aa} \ x \ Z_{ab}}$$

Where:

Aab = aggressively value for the component **a** (sugar beet).

Aba = aggressively value for the component b (flax).

Yab is intercrop yield of sugar beet, Zab is percentage of the area occupied by sugar beet.

- Relative Crowding Coefficient (RCC) or **K** was as follows (DE-WIT [29]):

$$K = Kab \times Kba$$

$$Kab = \frac{Yab \, x \, Zba}{(Yaa - Yab)Zab} \qquad Kba = \frac{Yba \, x \, Zab}{(Ybb - Yba)Zba}$$

Where: **a** is sugar beet and **b** is flax, respectively. **Zab** is a percentage of the area occupied by sugar beet and **Zba** is a percentage of the area occupied by flax.

Economic evaluations

Gross return from each treatment was calculated in U.S. Dollar (USD) according to the Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation, Economic Affairs Sector, Agricultural Statistics. Where market price of sugar beet was 35.78 and 38.55 USD/ton, flax straw was 102.41 and 103.01 USD/ton straw and flax seed was 0.60 and 0.48 USD/kg in 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 seasons, respectively.

Statistical analysis

The obtained data were statistically analyzed as per the technique of analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the split-plot design using "MSTAT-C" computer software package (GOMEZ and GOMEZ [30]). Least significant difference (LSD) method was utilized to test the differences among treatment means at 5% level of probability (SNEDECOR and COCHRAN [31]).

Results and discussion

Sugar beet quality and yields

The data exposed in Tables (3) and (4) reveal that the effect of sowing dates of flax intercropped with sugar beet on sugar beet quality (total soluble solids "TSS", sucrose and apparent purity percentages) and yields (root, top and sugar yields/ha) was significant in both seasons. It can be stated that sowing date of flax after sowing sugar beet *i.e.* 28th and 25th November (third sowing date) in the 1st and 2nd seasons, respectively markedly resulted in the most elevated values of total soluble solids "TSS", sucrose and apparent purity percentages, root, top and sugar yields/ha as compared with other studied sowing dates in both seasons. Intermediate sowing date of flax after sowing sugar beet *i.e.* 14th and 11th November (second sowing date) in the two seasons, respectively was ranked secondly after third sowing date of flax. While, early sowing date of flax date) in the first and second seasons, respectively registered the lowest values of sugar beet quality and yields in the two seasons. The increases in sugar beet quality and yields due to delay sowing of flax till 35 DFS might be attributed to the seasonable environmental conditions during this period for sugar beet which allow rapid germination, establishment, vegetative growth, development and ripening, consequently increasing dry matter accumulation , yield components as well as root , top and sugar yields per unit area. In addition, delaying flax sowing up to 35 days after sowing sugar beet reduces competition with sugar beet plants, which is reflected in increases in growth, quality and yields of sugar beet. Such results were obtained in some studies (BALALIC & al [13]; GALLARDO & al [14]; SAGHAYESH & al [16]; ABOU-ELELA [32]).

with sowing sugar beet *i.e.*, 24th and 20th October (first sowing

The obtained data in Tables (3) and (4) show that the studied seed rates of flax (12.5, 25.0, 37.5 and 50.0% from recommended seed rate i.e. 120 kg seeds/ha) intercropped with sugar beet exhibited significant effects on sugar beet quality (total soluble solids "TSS", sucrose and apparent purity percentages) and yields (root, top and sugar yields/ha) in both seasons. Intercropping sugar beet with flax by sowing flax at 12.5% from recommended seed rate on top sugar beet terraces attained the most elevated values of sugar beet quality and yields during the two winter seasons of 2018/2019 and 2019/2020. However, intercropping sugar beet with flax by sowing flax at 25% from recommended seed rate on top sugar beet terraces ranked secondly after the lowest seed rate and followed by sowing flax at 37.5% from recommended seed rate concerning its effect on sugar beet quality and yields in both seasons. Absolutely not, the highest seed rate of flax (sowing flax at 50% from recommended seed rate) gave the lowest values of all studied sugar beet quality and yields in the two growing seasons. Such impact of seed rates of flax intercropped with sugar beet might have been due to low seed rates of flax intercropped on top of sugar beet terraces reduced competition and mutual shading among sugar beet plants and flax plants, which affects the growth, quality and yield of sugar beet. These findings are in harmony with those reported by (SHEHA & al [33]; YASIN [34]; HANAFY & al [35]).

The interaction between cultivating dates and seed rates of flax intercropped with sugar beet demonstrate significant effect on sucrose percentage, root, top and sugar yields/ha in both seasons (Tables 3 and 4). However, total soluble solids (TSS) and apparent purity percentages showed insignificant effect as a result of the interaction between sowing dates and seed rates of flax intercropped with sugar beet in both growing seasons. The maximum values of sugar beet quality and yields were obtained from sowing flax at 12.5% from recommended seed rate after 35 days from sowing sugar beet (third sowing date) in both seasons. However, the second best interaction treatment for sugar beet quality and yields was gained from sowing flax at 12.5% from recommended seed rate after 21 days from sowing sugar beet (second sowing date) and followed by sowing flax at 37.5% from recommended seed rate after 35 days from sowing sugar beet (third sowing date) in both seasons. While, the lowest values of sugar beet quality and yields were obtained from sowing flax at 50.0% from recommended seed rate with sugar beet at the first sowing date *i.e.* 24th and 20th October in both seasons, respectively.

Characters		TSS	5 (%)		Sucrose (%)		Purit	y (%)	
Treatments		2018/19	201	9/20	2018/19	2019	9/20	2018/19	2019/20
Sowing dates:									
Sowing flax with sugar beet		22.95	22	.48	18.30	17.	90	79.73	79.61
Sowing flax after 21 DFS		23.56	23	.15	18.62	18.	21	78.94	78.57
Sowing flax after 35 DFS		23.78	23	.38	19.38	18.	98	81.65	81.19
LSD at 5 %		0.54	0.	50	0.26	0.2	25	2.29	2.30
Seed rates of flax (ratio from	recomme	ended seed i	rate i.e.	. 120 kg	g seed/h	a):			
12.5 %		24.26	23	.86	20.11	1	9.70	83.12	82.57
25.0 %		23.93	23	.53	19.24	1	8.84	80.46	80.13
37.5 %		23.64	23	.13	18.68	1	8.28	79.03	79.07
50.0 %		21.88	21	.50	17.02	1	6.62	77.83	77.38
LSD at 5 %		0.53	0.	58	0.39	C	.38	2.60	1.64
Interaction:									
	12.5 %	24.00		23.56	19.	50	19.10	81.31	81.11
Saning flore with surgery based	25.0 %	23.80		23.40	19.	20	18.80	80.68	80.35
Sowing flax with sugar beet	37.5 %	23.20		22.53	18.	00	17.60	77.58	78.12
	50.0 %	20.80		20.43	16.	50	16.10	74.71	74.25
	12.5 %	24.26		23.90	19.	62	19.19	80.88	80.30
Souring flow often 11 DES	25.0 %	24.00		23.60	19.	23	18.83	80.19	79.85
Sowing flax after 21 DFS	37.5 %	23.73		23.26	18.	98	18.58	80.00	79.88
	50.0 %	22.26		21.86	16.	63	16.23	79.37	78.85
	12.5 %	24.53		24.13	21.	22	20.82	87.19	86.31
Souring flow often 25 DES	25.0 %	24.00		23.60	19.	30	18.90	80.50	80.19
Sowing flax after 35 DFS	37.5 %	24.00		23.60	19.	08	18.68	79.50	79.20
	50.0 %	22.60		22.20	17.	93	17.53	79.42	79.05
LSD at 5 %		1.100	NS	N	IS	0.68	C).69	NS
Solo sugar beet		64.750	26.60	26	5.30	20.00	2	1.03	79.97

Table 3. Total soluble solids (TSS), sucrose and apparent purity percentages of sugar beet intercropped with flax as affected by sowing dates and seed rates of flax as well as their interaction during 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 seasons.

Table 4. Root, top and sugar yields/ha of sugar beet intercropped with flax as affected by sowing dates and seed
rates of flax as well as their interaction during 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 seasons.

Characters		Root y	/ield (t/ha	ı)	Top yield (t/ha)			Sugar (t/ł	•
Treatments		2018/19	2019	9/20 20	18/19	2019	/20	2018/19	2019/2
Sowing dates:									
Sowing flax with sugar beet		47.648	47.9	971 16	5.593	16.9	12	8.762	8.631
Sowing flax after 21 DFS		51.524	51.8	814 17	7.914	18.1	98	9.650	9.510
Sowing flax after 35 DFS		56.088	56.3	374 19	9.457	19.7	79	10.943	10.771
LSD at 5 %		1.129	0.9	83 0	.638	0.57	74	0.281	0.260
Seed rates of flax (ratio from	recomme	ended seed	rate i.e.	120 kg s	eed/ha	ı):			
12.5 %		59.112	59.4	436 20).476	20.	826	11.921	11.743
25.0 %		53.555	53.8	833 18	8.586	18.	881	10.310	10.171
37.5 %		49.262	49.5	540 17	7.171	17.	429	9.219	9.074
50.0 %		45.088	45.4	405 15	5.721	16.	048	7.688	7.564
LSD at 5 %		0.674	0.6	21 0	.560	0.5	519	0.233	0.250
Interaction:									
	12.5 %	53.26	52	53.619	18.3	81	18.738	10.386	10.240
Sowing flax with sugar beet	25.0 %	48.97	76	49.333	17.1	36	17.310	9.405	9.276
Sowing nax with sugar beet	37.5 %	45.61	9	45.976	15.9	05	16.262	8.212	8.093
	50.0 %	42.73	38	42.952	14.9	52	15.333	7.048	6.917
	12.5 %	59.76	52	60.143	20.6	67	21.000	11.729	11.545
Sowing flax after 21 DFS	25.0 %	53.09	95	53.333	18.3	81	18.738	10.212	10.112
Soving has alter 21 DIS	37.5 %	48.92	29	49.167	17.1	83	17.381	9.290	9.138
	50.0 %	44.31	10	44.619	15.4	29	15.667	7.371	7.245
	12.5 %	64.31	10	64.548	22.3	81	22.738	13.655	13.445
Sowing flax after 35 DFS	25.0 %	58.59	95	58.833	20.2	38	20.595	11.314	11.124
Sowing has alter 55 DI S	37.5 %	53.23	38	53.476	18.4	29	18.643	10.157	9.990
	50.0 %	48.21	4	48.643	16.7	86	17.143	8.648	8.529
LSD at 5 %		1.100	1.074	0.833	3	0.900	0.3	371	0.400
Solo sugar beet		64.750	65.012	22.66	0 2	2.881	13.	774	13.571

Flax yields and its components

Data in the Tables (5) and (6) reveal that there were significant differences among the three sowing dates of flax intercropped with sugar beet (sowing flax with sugar beet, sowing flax after 21 and 35 DFS sugar beet) on straw yield/ha, fruiting zone length and seed yield/ha in both seasons. While, technical length, stem diameter and number of capsules/plant of flax did not significantly affected by their sowing dates of flax in both seasons. The most marked and maximum values of flax straw yield and its components i.e. technical length, stem diameter and straw yield/ha in addition to seed yield and its attributes *i.e.* fruiting zone length, number of capsules/plant and seed yield/ha were resulted from the intermediate sowing date of flax after sowing sugar beet *i.e.* 14th and 11th November (second sowing date) in the first and second seasons, respectively followed by early sowing date (sowing flax on 24th and 20th October with sugar beet) and then late sowing date (sowing flax on 28th and 25th November after 35 DFS sugar beet sowing) in both seasons. Flax straw and seeds yields and its components were probably near maximum values at the intermediate sowing date might due to more suitable seasonable environmental conditions during this period such as temperature, day length and light intensity, which allow rapid germination, establishment, vegetative growth, development and ripening, consequently improve photosynthesis process and increase straw as well as seed yields per unit area (ABD EL LATEEF & al [12]; GALLARDO & al [14]; RAHIMI [15]; MAURYA & al [17]; HEMEID [18]).

The studied seed rates of flax (12.5, 25.0, 37.5 and 50%) from recommended seed rate) intercropped with sugar beet exhibited significant effects on flax straw yield and its components *i.e.* technical length, stem diameter and straw yield/ha moreover, seed yield and its attributes *i.e.* fruiting zone length, number of capsules/plant and seed yield/ha in both seasons (Tables 5 and 6). Sowing flax at the highest seed rate (50% from recommended seed rate) in the intercropping system with sugar beet resulted in the best values of flax technical length, straw yield/ha, fruiting zone length and seed yield/ha at the same time the lowest values of stem diameter and number of capsules/plant during the two winter seasons of 2018/19 and 2019/20. These results may be due to the competition among the adjacent plants, which led to decrease the amount of solar radiations intercepted by plants, as well as less aeration and light distribution among plants, which led to decrease the photosynthetic activities and dry matter accumulation per plant, but at the same time, straw and seed yields/ha increased due to the increase in technical length, fruiting zone length and great number of flax plants per unit area. However, sowing flax at the lowest seed rate (12.5% from recommended seed rate) in the intercropping system with sugar beet produced the highest values of flax stem diameter and number of capsules/plant, simultaneously the lowest values of technical length, straw yield/ha, fruiting zone length and seed yield/ha in the two growing seasons. These results may be due to the high competition between the adjacent plants at higher plant density for light, water and nutrients, which led to decrease photosynthetic

activities and produce less dry matter accumulated in different plant organs in individual plants (DELES and CHOFERIE [20]; EMAM and DEWDAR [21]; ERDOGDU & al [22]; KATORE & al [23]; XIE & al [24]).

Flax straw yield/ha, number of capsules/plant and seed yield/ha were significantly affected by the interaction between sowing dates and seed rates of flax intercropped with sugar beet in both seasons (Tables 5 and 6). The best values of flax straw yield/ha and seed yield/ha were obtained from sowing flax at 50.0% from recommended seed rate after 21 days from sowing sugar beet (second sowing date) in the first and second seasons, respectively. While, sowing flax at 12.5% from recommended seed rate after 35 days from sowing sugar beet (third sowing date) produced the lowest values of flax straw yield/ha, number of capsules/plant and seed yield/ha in both seasons. However, the most elevated values of flax number of capsules/plant were obtained from sowing flax at 12.5% from recommended seed rate after 21 DFS sugar beet i.e., 14th and 11th November (second sowing date) in both seasons, respectively. While, sowing flax at 50.0% from recommended seed rate after 35 days from sowing sugar beet (third sowing date) produced the lowest values of flax number of capsules/plant in both seasons. The superiority in flax straw and seed yields and its components values at the intermediate sowing date might due to the more suitable seasonable environmental conditions with suitable seed rates of flax. These results are matching with those obtained by HUSSEIN and METWALLY [36]; EL-SHAMY and SHAHEIN [37].

Competitive relationships

The highest values of competitive relationship Land equivalent ratio "LER" (1.27 and 1.26) as presented in Table (7) were obtained from sowing flax at 50.0% from recommended seed rate after 21 DFS sugar beet (second sowing date) in the 1st and 2nd seasons, respectively and after 35 DFS sugar beet with the highest density in the second season only. While, the lowest values of LER (1.12 and 1.08) were recorded from sowing flax at12.5% and 25% from recommended seed rate, respectively with the first sowing date in both seasons. This result could be attributed to plant density of sugar beet was 100% of its pure stand, while the plant density of flax ranged from 12.5, 25, 37.5 up to 50% of its pure stand.

The highest values of competitive relationship Relative crowding coefficient "RCC" (44.75 and 39.63) as presented in Table (8) were obtained from sowing flax at 12.5% from recommended seed rate with 35 DFS sugar beet (third sowing date) in the first and second seasons, respectively. Nevertheless, sowing flax at 12.5% from recommended seed rate after 21 DFS sugar beet (second sowing date) came in the second rank regarding the aforementioned competitive relationships traits within together seasons, except for LER in the second season, it was belonged to 12.5% from recommended seed rate at 35 DFS sugar beet. As for RCC, the lowest ones (1.72 and 1.47) were recorded from sowing flax at 25% from recommended seed rate with first sowing date of sugar beet in the first and second seasons, in order. Table 5. Technical length, stem diameter and straw yield/ha of flax intercropped with sugar beet as affected by sowing dates and seed rates of flax as well as their interaction during 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 seasons.

Characters		Technical l	ength (cm)	Stem dian	neter (mm)	Straw yield (t/ha)	
Treatments		2018/19	2019/20	2018/19	2019/20	2018/19	2019/20
Sowing dates:							
Sowing flax with su	gar beet	55.89	54.733	1.933	2.042	1.938	1.724
Sowing flax after 2	21 DFS	57.81	55.642	1.95	2.133	2.045	1.798
Sowing flax after 3	35 DFS	55.86	53.567	1.875	2.025	1.481	1.433
LSD at 5 %		NS	NS	NS	NS	0.221	0.200
Seed rates of flax (ratio	from recomn	nended seed ra	te i.e. 120 kg	seed/ha):			
12.5 %		51.18	49.01	2.233	2.378	0.926	0.836
25.0 %		52.87	50.88	2.156	2.289	1.371	1.319
37.5 %		58.76	56.80	1.678	1.811	2.002	1.833
50.0 %		63.26	61.88	1.611	1.789	2.986	2.619
LSD at 5 %		2.94	2.80	0.215	0.234	0.152	0.162
Interaction:							
	12.5 %	51.46	49.26	2.067	2.167	0.969	0.779
Sowing flax with	25.0 %	53.33	51.16	2.233	2.333	1.517	1.436
sugar beet	37.5 %	55.60	53.30	1.600	1.700	2.195	1.945
	50.0 %	63.06	60.53	1.567	1.767	3.071	2.738
	12.5 %	52.46	50.30	2.333	2.433	1.040	0.979
Sowing flax after 21	25.0 %	53.36	51.13	2.067	2.200	1.550	1.445
DFS	37.5 %	60.66	58.8	1.633	1.767	2.243	2.014
	50.0 %	64.76	62.8	1.733	1.967	3.350	2.755
	12.5 %	49.63	47.46	2.300	2.533	0.264	0.283
Sowing flax after 35	25.0 %	51.93	50.36	2.167	2.333	1.048	1.079
DFS	37.5 %	60.03	58.30	1.800	1.900	1.571	1.540
	50.0 %	61.96	62.33	1.533	1.700	2.540	2.364
LSD at 5 %		NS	NS	NS	NS	0.769	0.752
Solo flax		70.80	67.40	1.40	1.50	6.310	5.617

Table 6. Fruiting zone length, number of capsules/plant and seed yield/ha of flax intercropped with sugar beet as affected by sowing dates and seed rates of flax as well as their interaction during 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 seasons.

Characters	Characters _		zone length cm)	Numb capsules		Seed yield (kg/ha)	
Treatments		2018/19	2019/20	2018/19	2019/20	2018/19	2019/20
Sowing dates:							
Sowing flax with sugar	beet	16.95	19.11	18.75	21.91	636.7	564.3
Sowing flax after 21 D	FS	19.43	21.59	20.75	23.66	677.4	566.4
Sowing flax after 35 D	FS	16.62	18.7	17	20.66	497.9	517.4
LSD at 5 %		2.29	2.32	NS	NS	45.0	42.1
Seed rates of flax (ratio from	n recomn	nended seed r	ate i.e. 120 kg so	eed/ha):			
12.5 %		16.78	18.75	23.77	27.55	461.9	376.4
25.0 %		16.81	19.08	18.33	21.66	544.3	460.2
37.5 %		18.00	20.22	18.00	21.00	621.7	558.1
50.0 %		19.07	21.15	15.22	18.11	788.1	802.9
LSD at 5 %		1.36	1.32	1.59	1.65	70.5	72.1
Interaction:	12.5 %	16.30	18.10	24.33	27.33	476.2	381.0
Sowing flax with sugar beet	25.0 %	16.20	18.53	19.00	22.00	574.5	484.0
	37.5 %	16.86	19.26	17.66	20.66	706.2	634.8
	50.0 %	18.43	20.56	14.00	17.66	789.5	757.9
	12.5 %	18.33	20.43	26.66	29.66	531.7	411.9
Sowing flax after 21 DFS	25.0 %	18.33	20.63	20.00	23.00	590.5	449.0
	37.5 %	20.03	22.20	20.33	23.33	642.9	531.7
	50.0 %	21.03	23.10	16.00	18.66	944.3	872.9
	12.5 %	15.73	17.73	20.33	25.66	377.6	336.4
Sowing flax after 35 DFS	25.0 %	15.90	18.10	16.00	20.00	468.1	447.6
Sowing has alter 55 DFS	37.5 %	17.10	19.20	16.00	19.00	515.7	507.9
	50.0 %	17.76	19.80	15.66	18.00	630.0	777.6
LSD at 5 %		NS	NS 2	2.75 2	2.68 12	21.9	126.2
Solo flax		19.2	21.3	13	14 16	09.5	1516.7

Table 7. Land Equivalent Ratio (LER) and Aggressivity (Ag) of intercropping flax with sugar beet as affected by sowing dates and seed rates of flax during 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 seasons.

Chara	noter	Land	equivalen	t ratio	Aggressivity	
Character				(Ag)		
Treatments		Ls	Lf	LER	Ag s	
			2018	/2019 seas	on	
	12.50%	0.82	0.3	1.12	-1.74	
Sowing flax with	25.00%	0.76	0.36	1.12	-0.84	
sugar beet	37.50%	0.7	0.44	1.14	-0.64	
	50.00%	0.66	0.49	1.15	-0.48	
	12.50%	0.92	0.33	1.25	-1.93	
Sowing flax after	25.00%	0.82	0.37	1.19	-0.81	
21 DFS	37.50%	0.76	0.4	1.16	-0.43	
	50.00%	0.68	0.59	1.27	-0.73	
	12.50%	0.99	0.23	1.23	-0.99	
Sowing flax after	25.00%	0.9	0.29	1.20	-0.32	
35 DFS	37.50%	0.82	0.32	1.14	-0.04	
	50.00%	0.74	0.39	1.14	-0.06	
			2019	/2020 seas	on	
	12.50%	0.82	0.25	1.08	-1.33	
Sowing flax with	25.00%	0.76	0.32	1.08	-0.65	
sugar beet	37.50%	0.71	0.42	1.13	-0.56	
	50.00%	0.66	0.5	1.16	-0.51	
	12.50%	0.93	0.27	1.20	-1.40	
Sowing flax after	25.00%	0.82	0.3	1.12	-0.45	
21 DFS	37.50%	0.76	0.35	1.11	-0.25	
	50.00%	0.69	0.58	1.26	-0.70	
	12.50%	0.99	0.22	1.21	-0.88	
Sowing flax after	25.00%	0.9	0.3	1.20	-0.34	
35 DFS	37.50%	0.82	0.33	1.16	-0.10	
	50.00%	0.75	0.51	1.26	-0.42	

DFS = days from sowing, s = sugar beet, f = flax.

Aggressivity Character Relative Crowding Coefficient (RCC) (Ag) Treatments Ag f Ks Kf Κ 2018/2019 season 12.50% 1.74 0.58 3.36 1.95 25.00% 0.84 0.78 2.22 1.72 Sowing flax with sugar beet 37.50% 0.64 0.89 2.08 1.86 50.00% 0.97 1.93 0.48 1.87 12.50% 1.93 1.5 5.91 3.95 25.00% 0.81 1.14 2.32 2.64 Sowing flax after 21 DFS 37.50% 0.43 1.16 1.77 2.06 50.00% 0.73 1.08 2.84 3.08 12.50% 0.99 44.75 18.25 2.45 25.00% 3.90 0.32 2.38 1.64 Sowing flax after 35 DFS 37.50% 0.04 2.18 1.73 1.26 50.00% 0.06 1.29 1.88 1.46 2019/2020 season 12.50% 1.33 0.59 2.68 1.58 25.00% 0.65 0.79 1.88 1.47 Sowing flax with sugar beet 37.50% 0.56 0.91 1.92 1.74 50.00% 0.51 0.97 2 1.94 12.50% 1.40 1.54 2.98 4.61 25.00% 0.45 1.92 1.14 1.68 Sowing flax after 21 DFS 37.50% 0.25 1.16 1.44 1.67 50.00% 0.70 1.09 2.97 2.71 12.50% 0.88 17.38 2.28 39.63 25.00% 0.34 2.38 1.67 3.99 Sowing flax after 35 DFS 37.50% 0.10 1.74 1.34 2.33 50.00% 0.42 1.49 2.1 3.13

Table 8. Aggressivity (Ag) and Relative Crowding Coefficient (RCC) of intercropping flax with sugar beet as affected by sowing dates and seed rates of flax during 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 seasons.

DFS = days from sowing, s = sugar beet, f = flax.

Table 9. Effect of the interaction between sowing dates and seed rates of flax on economic evaluation of intercropping flax with sugar beet during the two winter seasons of 2018/2019 and 2019/2020.

Treatments		Economic evaluation					
Sowing dates of flax	Seed rates of flax	Actual sugar beet root yield (USD)	Actual flax straw yield (USD)	Actual flax seed yield (USD)			
			2018/2019				
	12.50%	801.81	66.20	120.48			
Sowing flax with sugar beet	25.00%	737.29	103.61	145.36			
	37.50%	686.75	149.94	178.67			
	50.00%	643.37	209.82	199.76			
	12.50%	899.70	71.08	134.52			
Sowing flax after 21 DFS	25.00%	799.34	105.90	149.40			
	37.50%	736.57	153.19	162.65			
	50.00%	667.05	228.86	238.92			
Sowing flax after 35 DFS	12.50%	968.13	18.07	95.54			
	25.00%	882.11	71.57	118.43			
	37.50%	801.45	107.35	130.48			
	50.00%	725.84	173.55	159.40			
Solo sugar beet		974.76		_			
			2019/2020				
	12.50%	868.25	49.28	96.39			
Sowing flax with	25.00%	798.86	90.84	122.47			
sugar beet	37.50%	744.46	123.07	160.60			
	50.00%	695.54	173.19	191.75			
	12.50%	973.86	61.93	104.22			
Sowing flax after 21	25.00%	863.61	91.45	113.61			
DFS	37.50%	796.14	127.41	134.52			
	50.00%	722.53	174.28	220.84			
	12.50%	1045.18	17.95	85.12			
Sowing flax after 35	25.00%	952.65	68.25	113.25			
DFS	37.50%	865.90	97.47	128.49			
	50.00%	787.65	149.58	196.75			
Solo sugar	·beet	1052.71					

Table 10. Effect of the interaction between sowing dates and seed rates of flax on economic evaluation of intercropping flax with sugar beet during the two winter seasons of 2018/2019 and 2019/2020.

Treatments		Economic evaluation					
Sowing dates of flax	Seed rates of flax	Total income (USD)	Total cost (USD)	Economic return (USD			
			2018/2019				
	12.50%	988.49	567.53	421.02			
Sowing flax with	25.00%	986.27	592.83	393.43			
sugar beet	37.50%	1015.42	618.19	397.23			
	50.00%	1052.95	643.49	409.46			
	12.50%	1105.24	567.53	537.77			
Sowing flax after 21	25.00%	1054.58	592.83	461.75			
DFS	37.50%	1052.47	618.19	434.28			
	50.00%	1134.82	643.49	491.33			
	12.50%	1081.75	567.53	514.22			
Sowing flax after 35 DFS	25.00%	1072.11	592.83	479.28			
	37.50%	1039.28	618.19	421.14			
	50.00%	1058.80	643.49	415.30			
Solo sugar be	eet	974.76	542.17	432.59			
			2019/2020				
	12.50%	1013.86	587.83	426.02			
Sowing flax with	25.00%	1012.11	615.42	396.69			
sugar beet	37.50%	1028.13	643.01	385.12			
	50.00%	1060.48	670.60	389.88			
	12.50%	1140.00	587.83	552.17			
Sowing flax after 21	25.00%	1068.67	615.42	453.25			
DFS	37.50%	1058.07	643.01	415.06			
	50.00%	1117.59	670.60	446.99			
	12.50%	1148.25	587.83	560.42			
Sowing flax after 35	25.00%	1134.16	615.42	518.73			
DFS	37.50%	1091.87	643.01	448.86			
	50.00%	1133.98	670.60	463.37			
Solo sugar b	eet	1052.71	560.24	492.47			

Concerning the Aggressively (Ag), the highest value for flax (+1.93 and +1.40) and the lowest value for sugar beet (-1.93 and -1.40) were resulted from sowing flax at 12.5% from recommended seed rate after 21 DFS sugar beet (second sowing date) in the first and second seasons, in order. While, the lowest value of (Ag) for flax (+0.04 and +0.10) and the highest value for sugar beet (-0.04 and -0.10) were resulted from sowing flax at 37.5% from recommended seed rate after 35 days from sowing sugar beet (third sowing date) in the 1st and 2nd seasons, respectively. These results are expected since increased plant density of flax increased competition between intercrops species and decreased yield and its components consequently decreased relative yields of both crops. While, intercropping flax with sugar beet under the optimum plant density (12.5% from recommended seed rate) showed efficient utilization of land and environment resources by growing both crops. These results are in agreement with those obtained by SHEHA & al [33]; EL-SHAMY and SHAHEIN [37].

Economic evaluations

Concerning the economic evaluation of the interaction between sowing dates and seed rates of flax intercropped with sugar beet during the two winter seasons of 2018/2019 and 2019/2020, the data available in Tables (9) and (10) obvious show that the highest values of actual sugar beet root yield (968.13 and 1045.18 USD) in both seasons, respectively, total income (1148.25 USD) and economic return (560.42 USD) in the second season were obtained from sowing flax at 12.5% from recommended seed rate after 35 DFS sugar beet (third sowing date). However, the best values of actual flax straw yield (228.86 and 174.28 USD) and actual flax seed yield (238.92 and 220.84 USD) in both seasons, respectively and total income (1134.82 USD) in the first season were obtained from sowing flax at 50.0% from recommended seed rate after 21 DFS sugar beet (second sowing date). While, the highest value of economic return in the first season (537.77 USD) was recorded with 12.5% from recommended seed rate after 21 days from sowing sugar beet.

Conclusion

From the obtained results of this study under intercropping sugar beet and flax, it can be concluded that the maximum actual sugar beet root yield and economic return of both sugar beet and flax were obtained from sowing flax at 12.5% from recommended seed rate after (21 or 35) days from sowing sugar beet (second or third sowing date) under the environmental conditions of Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate, Egypt.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Khalid University for funding this work through Program of Research Groups under grant number (RGP 2/165/42). The authors would like to thank Field Crop Res. Institute (FCRI), Agricultural Research Center (ARC), for continual help during the field experimental work of this study. Also, the authors would like to thank all members of Plant Pathology and Biotechnology Lab., and EPCRS

Excellence Centre (Certified according to ISO/9001, ISO/14001 and OHSAS/18001), Dept. of Agric. Botany, Fac. of Agric., Kafrelsheikh University, Kafr-Elsheikh, Egypt.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

References

- ZALAT S S, EL-SAYED A A, ELKHOBY R A E, HAFEZ Y, ALI E, ABDELAAL KH A A. Effect of method and time of micronutrients application on sugar beet productivity under two nitrogen fertilizer sources. *Fresenius Environmental Bulletin*, 2021; 30(7A): 9135-9141
- 2. ABDELAAL KH A A. Pivotal Role of Bio and Mineral Fertilizer Combinations on Morphological, Anatomical and Yield Characters of Sugar Beet Plant (*Beta vulgaris* L.). *Middle East Journal of Agriculture Research*, 2015; 4(4): 717-734.
- ABDELAAL KH A A, TAWFIK S F. Response of Sugar Beet Plant (*Beta vulgaris* L.) to Mineral Nitrogen Fertilization and Bio-Fertilizers. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.*, 2015; 4(9): 677-688.
- ABDELAAL KH A A, BADAWY SH A, NEANA SH M. Effect of foliar application of microelements and potassium on growth, physiological and quality characters of sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.) under newly reclaimed soils, *J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ.*, 2015; 6 (1): 123-133.
- ABDELAAL KH A A, RAGAB Y A, EL-HAG D A, OSMAN M F. Effect of nitrogen and potassium fertilization on productivity and quality sugar beet plants at North Delta Region, Egypt. *Fresenius Environmental Bulletin*, 2019; 28(11): 7833-7839.
- ABDELAAL KH A A, RASHED S H, RAGAB A, HOSSIAN A, EL SABAGH A. Yield and quality of two sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L. ssp. vulgaris var. altissima Doll) cultivars are influenced by foliar application of salicylic Acid, irrigation timing, and planting density. *Acta agriculturae Slovenica*, 2020; 115(2): 239-248
- ALKAHTAN M D F, HAFEZ Y M, ATTIA K, RASHWAN E, HUSNAI L A, ALGWAIZ H I M, ABDELAAL KH A A. Evaluation of Silicon and Proline Application on the Oxidative Machinery in Drought-Stressed Sugar Beet. *Antioxidants*, 2021; 10(3):398.
- 8. FAO. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, *FAOSTAT, FAO Statistics Division*, 2020; November, 2020.
- SHAHEIN A M E A, MAZRO Y, EL-BORHAM A M A, ABDELAAL KH A A, EL HAG D A A. Evaluation of some flax genotypes (*Linum ustatissimum* L.) for seed yield and quality. *Fresenius Environmental Bulletin*, 2021; 30(7A): 9417-9425
- 10. RASHWAN E, ALSOHIM A S, EL-GAMMAAL A,

HAFEZ Y, ABDELAAL KH A A. Foliar application of nano zink-oxide can alleviate the harmful effects of water deficit on some flax cultivars under drought conditions, *Fresenius Environmental Bulletin*, 2020; 29 (10): 8889-8904

- 11. MASR M I, SAFINA S A. Agro-economic impact of intercropping canola and onion on some sugar beet varieties under different nitrogen rates. *J. plant Production, Mansoura Univ.*, 2015; 6(10): 1661-1678.
- ABD EL LATEEF E M, BAKRY B A, ABD EL-SALAM M S, YOUNIS A S M. Effect of flax and faba bean intercropping with sugar beet on yield, quality and land use efficiency. *American-Eurasian J. of Agron.*, 2019; 12(2): 33-42.
- 13. BALALIC I, ZORIC M, BRANKOVIC G, TERZIC S, CRNOBARAC J. Interpretation of hybrid × sowing date interaction for oil content and oil yield in sunflower. *Field Crops Res.*, 2012; 137: 70-77.
- GALLARD M A, JOSÉMILISICH H, DRAGO S R, GONZÁLEZ R J. Effect of cultivars and planting date on yield, oil content, and fatty acid profile of flax varieties (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). *International J. of Agro.*, 2014; Vol. 2014: 1-7.
- 15. RAHIMI M M. Effect of sowing date and nitrogen on yield and yield components of medicinal flax. *Intern. J. of Biosci.*, 2014; 5(12): 160-165.
- SAGHAYESH S P, MOGHADDAM M, MEHDIZADEH L. Effect of sowing dates on the morphological characteristics, oil yield and composition of fatty acids in flax (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). *Intl. J. Agric. Crop Sci.*, 2014; 7(11): 915-922.
- MAURYA A C, RAGHUVEER M, GOSWAMI G, KUMAR S. Influences of date of sowing on yield attributes and yield of linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) varieties under dry land condition in Eastern Uttar Pradesh. *Intern. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 2017; 6(7): 481-487.
- 18. HEMEID M M. Effect of sowing date and phosphorus fertilizer rates on yield and yield components of Sakha 5 flax cultivar. *Alex. Sci. Exch. J.*, 2020; 41(3): 341-351.
- 19. KUMARI S. Effect of sowing dates on yield attributes and yield of linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) varieties. *J. of Pharm. and Phytochem.*, 2020; 9(1): 791-792.
- 20. DELESA A, CHOFERIE A. Response of linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) to seed rates and seeding methods in south-eastern highlands of Ethiopia. *J. of Biol. Agric. and Hea.*, 2015; 5(13): 218-223.
- 21. EMAM S M, DEWDAR M D H. Seeding rates and phosphorus source effects on straw, seed and oil yields of flax (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) grown in newly-reclaimed soils. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 2015; 4(3): 334-343.
- ERDOGDU Y, YAVER S, ONEMLI F. The Effect of different seeding rates on grain yield and yield components in some flax (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) varieties. *Intern. J. of Environ. & Agric. Res.*, 2018; 4(1): 1-9.
- 23. KATORE J R, NAIR B, DAMDAR R, RANANAWARE S, POHARE V. Effect of different seed rates on growth attributes, yield and economics of

linseed. Bull. Environ. Pharmacol. Life Sci., 2019; 8(2): 40-43.

- 24. XIE Y, YAN Z, NIU Z, COULTER J A, NIU J, ZHANG J, WANG B, YAN B, ZHAO W, LIMIN WAN. Yield, oil content, and fatty acid profile of flax (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) as affected by phosphorus rate and seeding rate. *Ind. Crops and Products*, *145*, *March 2020, doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.*, 2020; 112087.
- 25. PAGE A L. Methods of soil analysis, Part 2, chemical and microbical properties (2nd Ed.). *American Society of Agronomy. In Soil Sci. of Amer. Inc. Madison Wisconsin, USA.*, 1982.
- 26. CARRUTHERS A, OLDFIELD J F T. Methods for the assessment of beet quality. *Int. Sugar J.*, 1960; 63: 72-74.
- 27. WILLEY R W, RAO M R. A competitive ratio for quantifying competition between intercrops. *Expl. Agric.*, 1980; 17: 257-264.
- 28. MC-GILLCHRIST C A. Analysis of competition experiments. *Biometrics.*, 1965; 21:975-985.
- 29. DE-WIT C T. Intercropping its importance and research needs. Part 1. Competition and yield advantages. *Verslag Landbov Wkundige Onderz.*, 1960; 66: 1-82 [C.A. Willey, R. W., 1979 (Field Crop Abst., 32: 1-10)].
- GOMEZ K N, GOMEZ A A.Statistical procedures for agricultural research. *John Wiley and Sons, New York,* 2nd ed., 1984; 68 p.
- 31. SNEDECOR G W, COCHRAN W G. "Statistical Methods" 7th Ed. *The Iowa State Univ. Press, Iowa, USA.*, 1980.
- ABOU-ELELA A M. Effect of intercropping system and sowing dates of wheat intercropped with sugar beet. J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., 2012; 3(12): 3101 – 3116.
- 33. SHEHA A M, EL-MEHY A A, HEFNY Y A A. Effect of intercropping patterns and nitrogen fertilizer levels on productivity of intercropped sugar beet and sunflower. *Zagazig J. Agric. Res.*, 2017; 44(1): 71-85.
- YASIN M A T. Response of three sugar beet varieties to planting density and potassium fertilizer levels under sandy soil conditions. *Zagazig J. Agric. Res.* 2017; 44(2): 449-463.
- 35. HANAFY E G H, EL-BANA A Y A, YASIN M A T, EL-NAGGAR N Z. Impact of planting density, nitrogen and potassium fertilizer levels on yield and quality of sugar beet. *Zagazig J. Agric. Res.*, 2019; 46(6B): 2133-2133.
- 36. HUSSEIN M M M, METWALLY S A. Effect of intercropping flax in different seeding rates in fields of sugar beet under nitrogen fertilization levels on yield, quality and land use efficiency attributes. *Zagazig J. Agric. Res.*, 2012; 39: 9-29.
- EL-SHAMY M A, SHAHEIN A M E A. Intercropping effect of some flax cultivars at various seed rates with sugar beet on growth, yield and quality of both crops. *Proceedings, The Sixth Field Crops Conference, ARC, Egypt, 22-23 Nov.,* 2016; (3): 423-439.